

MINUTES
SAN DIEGO COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION
Regular Meeting – December 4, 2009
DPLU Hearing Room, 9:00 a.m.

The meeting convened at 9:10 a.m., recessed at 10:40 a.m., reconvened at 11:02 a.m., recessed at 12:05 p.m., reconvened at 1:15 p.m. and adjourned at 2:14 p.m.

A. ROLL CALL

Commissioners Present: Beck, Brooks, Day (out at 12:05 p.m.), Norby (out at 12:05 p.m.), Pallinger, Riess, Woods (out at 12:05 p.m.)

Commissioners Absent: None

Advisors Present: Mehnert (OCC); Sinsay (DPW)

Staff Present: Baca, Beddow, Brown, Citrano, Ehsan, Fogg, Gibson, Giffen, Grunow, Lubich, Murphy, Muto, Ramaiya, Rowan, Jones (recording secretary)

B. Statement of Planning Commission's Proceedings, Approval of Minutes for the Meeting of November 6 and November 13, 2009

Action: Pallinger - Brooks

Postpone consideration of the November 6 and November 13, 2009 meeting Minutes until December 18, 2009.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

C. Public Communication: Opportunity for members of the public to speak to the Commission on any subject matter within the Commission's jurisdiction but not an item on today's Agenda.

There were none.

D. Announcement of Handout Materials Related to Today's Agenda Items

Administrative:

E. Requests for Continuance

F. Formation of Consent Calendar: Item 4 (P08-013

G. **Director's Report:** None

P85-079W⁵, Agenda Item 1:

1. **Oak Creek RV Park, Major Use Permit Modification P85-079W⁵, Lakeside Community Plan Area** (continued from October 23, 2009)

Planning Commission direction: At their August 14, 2009 meeting, the Planning Commission directed the applicant to consider including a maximum time limit for the RV spaces proposed for long-term occupancy. Specifically, the Planning Commission identified that long-term occupancy should be defined as more than 90 days per calendar year but less than permanent (i.e. without a time limitation).

Applicant proposal: The applicant has declined to modify the proposal as directed by the Planning Commission on August 14, 2009, but has indicated to staff a willingness to modify the proposal to revise the proportion of long-term (unlimited) occupancy spaces. The applicant now requests that the Planning Commission consider approval of Major Use Permit Modification P85-079W⁵ with the following provisions: 50% of the existing 120 spaces in Phase 1 and 50% of the previously approved 84 spaces in Phase 2 would have no occupancy limitation. The remaining 50% of the spaces would have a 240-day occupancy limitation. In addition, Phase Two would be age restricted for guests older than 55, no RVs greater than 400 square feet will be allowed within the park, and no residential structures will be allowed. The applicant also requests that Phase One would allow 100% of the existing 120 spaces to have no occupancy limitation if Phase Two is not constructed in the future or if the proposed time extension expires.

Staff Presentation: Brown

Proponents: 1; **Opponents:** 4

Discussion:

Staff now recommends that 20% of the existing RV spaces be designated for limited occupancy durations (90-day stays per calendar year), and that the remaining 80% of the existing spaces be designated for unlimited occupancy durations. The applicant is required to ensure that the RVs remain RVs, and each space must be inspected and maintained by the park manager. Staff will perform an inspection when the MUP is approved, and subsequent inspections as warranted.

P85-079W⁵, Agenda Item 1:

Staff informs the Planning Commission that approval of the application today will result in Major Use Permit Modification P85-079W⁴ being extended for two years. Commissioner Riess reminds Staff, as he did during the October 23, 2009 hearing, that RVs are not intended for full-time habitation, and will not operate after months of being immobile.

Community residents are greatly opposed to this project, and believe its approval will permanently increase density and detrimentally impact public services, particularly schools. They insist that most of the Conditions of Approval contained in the Major Use Permit Modification are unenforceable, and voice concern about existing onsite violations. Staff informs the Planning Commission that all onsite violations (with the exception of occupancy restrictions) have been corrected, and reminds the Planning Commission that State law overrides the County's jurisdiction with respect to occupancy limitations unless certain Findings can be made.

Community residents and several Planning Commissioners question whether the applicant is required to pay school fees, and Commissioner Brooks clarifies that school fees are only imposed on new construction. Staff acknowledges that the applicant will be required to pay a one-time school fee on the exemption, and concedes that it will be difficult to ensure the applicant's adherence to some of the Major Use Permit Modification requirements. The applicant informs the Commissioners that he had actually proposed a greater percentage of limited occupancy spaces than Staff is recommending.

Action: Pallinger- Brooks

Continue consideration of Major Use Permit Modification P85-079W⁵ to the meeting of December 18, 2009. Staff is to return at that time with detailed information regarding whether the applicant is or will be responsible paying school fees.

Ayes:	4 -	Beck, Brooks, Pallinger, Riess
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	3 -	Day, Norby, Woods

TM 4713RPL⁶R Agenda Item 2:**2. Peppertree Park Tentative Map, TM 4713RPL⁶R, Fallbrook Community Plan Area**

Revised Map (TM 4713RPL⁶R) for Units 7 through 10 of the Peppertree Park Specific Plan was approved in November 2007. The Revised Map changed the alignment of Pepper Tree Lane through the northern portion of the project site, as well as the design of the 48 remaining residential lots in Units 7 and 8 of the Specific Plan Area (267 residential lots total). The applicant now proposes to amend two Conditions of the Resolution for TM 4713RPL⁶R as follows: (1) Revise Condition C.2.b to require a temporary 40-foot wide road improvement on a portion of Pepper Tree Lane with approved base material only, rather than an interim improvement consisting of asphaltic concrete pavement (without final lift) over an approved base with AC dike and walkway at 20 feet from centerline (DPLU supports); and (2) delete Condition C.2.c(3) and move it to C.2.d(4) so that the requirement to post bond/security for Pepper Tree Lane improvements (bridge construction) is moved from Unit 8 to Unit 9 or 10. The project site is located at the northern portion of the Peppertree Park Specific Plan Area approximately 640 feet east of South Mission Road within the Fallbrook Community Plan Area. The General Plan Designation on the site is (21) Specific Plan Area and the zoning is RS1.17 Residential and S88 Specific Planning Area Use Regulations.

Staff Presentation: Hingtgen

Proponents: 5; **Opponents:** 1

Discussion:

Due to the length of today's Agenda, the applicant has requested that the Planning Commission postpone consideration of Tentative Map (TM) 4713RPL⁶R.

Action: Pallinger - Riess

Continue consideration of TM 4713RPL⁶R to the meeting of December 18, 2009.

Ayes:	6 -	Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	1 -	Beck
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

TM 5394RPL²R Agenda Item 3:**3. South County Commerce Center, Revised Tentative Map 5394RPL²R, East Otay Mesa Specific Plan, Otay Subregional Planning Area**

Review of the Director of Planning and Land Use's preliminary decision approving Revised Tentative Map 5394RPL²R, which is a modification of a previously approved Tentative Map, TM 5394, on an 81.19-acre site located in the East Otay Mesa Specific Plan Area. Pursuant to the Subdivision Ordinance, the Director's preliminary decision has been docketed to allow the Planning Commission, either on its own initiative or at the request of an interested person, to set the matter for a public hearing. If the Commission does not do so, the Director's preliminary decision will become final as a Planning Commission decision.

The project is a Revised Tentative Map that would create 19 lots ranging in size from 2.72 to 7.12 acres. The Revised Tentative Map would update the previously approved Tentative Map to make it consistent with the East Otay Mesa Specific Plan Amendment (SPA 06-003) and the amendment to the Circulation Element (GPA 06-013) approved by the County Board of Supervisors on August 1, 2007. Specifically, the Revised Map would adjust the dimensions of Otay Mesa Road and Enrico Fermi Drive, and eliminate a portion of Michael Faraday Road to be consistent with the approved SPA and GPA. The result of these changes would be an increase in the land available for Technology Business Park development by 2.66 acres.

The project site is located on the southwest corner of Otay Mesa Road and Enrico Fermi Drive in Subarea 1 of the East Otay Mesa Specific Plan Area. It is subject to the Current Urban Development Area (CUDA) Regional Category, the (21) Specific Plan Land Use Designation, and is zoned S88 Specific Plan with a 30,000 square-foot minimum lot size. The project would be served by sewer from the East Otay Mesa Sewer Maintenance District and imported water from the Otay Water District. No extension of sewer or water utilities will be required by the project. Earthwork will consist of a balanced cut and fill of 301,500 cubic yards of soil.

Staff Presentation: Rosenberg

Proponents: 0; **Opponents:** 0

TM 5394RPL²R Agenda Item 3:

Discussion:

This Item is approved on consent.

Action: Riess - Pallinger

Adopt the Director's preliminary Decision approving Revised Tentative Map TM 5394RPL²R, which makes the appropriate Findings and includes those requirements and Conditions necessary to ensure that the project is implemented in a manner consistent with the Subdivision Ordinance and State law.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

P08-013 Agenda Item 4:

4. Horizon Tower/Littlepage Lane Wireless Telecommunications Facility, Major Use Permit, P08-013, Ramona Community Plan Area

Proposed Major Use Permit to authorize the construction and operation of an unmanned wireless telecommunication facility at 26652 Littlepage Lane in the Ramona Community Plan Area. The facility will include a 35' tall faux broadleaf tree onto which 12 panel antennas will be mounted, and associated equipment. The project is subject to the 1.6 Environmentally Constrained Areas (ECA) Regional Category, the (20) General Agriculture Land Use Designation, and is zoned A72 (General Agricultural).

Staff Presentation: Lubich

Proponents: 0; **Opponents:** 0

This Item is approved on consent.

Action: Day - Riess

Grant Major Use Permit P08-013, and make the Findings and impose the requirements and Conditions as set forth in the Form of Decision.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0-	None
Abstain:	0-	None
Absent:	0 -	None

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

5. General Plan Update, Draft Text, Land Use Maps, Road Network, Community Plans, Implementation Plan and Conservation Subdivision Program (continued from November 20, 2009)

Comprehensive update of the San Diego County General Plan, establishing the future growth and development patterns and policies for the unincorporated areas of the County. The General Plan Update would improve land use and protect the environment better than the current 1980's era General Plan, partly by shifting 20% of the projected growth to western unincorporated communities with established infrastructure. The proposed plan would also balance growth with the needs to control traffic congestion, protect the environment and ease the strain on essential services such as water and fire protection.

The purpose of these hearings is to receive tentative recommendations from the Planning Commission regarding the draft General Plan text, Land Use Maps, Mobility Element road network, draft Community Plans, draft Implementation Plan and the Conservation Subdivision Program.

Today's hearing is a continuation of the General Plan Update hearings held on November 6, 19, and 20. The Planning Commission will complete discussions on Valley Center and testimony will be taken on the communities of Rainbow, San Dieguito, Otay, Julian, and Pendleton DeLuz.

Staff Presentation: Muto, Citrano, Lardy, Wong

Discussion:

Prior to Staff's presentation, the Valley Center Community Planning Group chairman commends Staff, the Planning Group and community residents for their endeavors in reaching this point and informs the Planning Commission that the Planning Group supports most of Staff's recommendations for the Valley Center Community Plan Area.

Staff has compiled the issues raised by the Planning Commission during the November 2009 General Plan Update hearings, including concerns raised regarding recommended minimum lot sizes, the economic impacts of downzoning, Community Plans and their compatibility with the General Plan, preservation of community character, the need for equity mechanisms, and TDRs/PDRs. Also raised during those hearings were concerns regarding the GPA process after adoption of the

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

General Plan, and the need for pipelining policies. Staff recommends that the Planning Commission form a subcommittee to address these issues and hold additional hearings in February 2010. Chairman Woods supports this recommendation. He volunteers to serve as chairman of the subcommittee, and requests that Commissioners Beck and Day join him as members. Chairman Woods recommends that the Conservation Subdivision program be included in the topics to be discussed, and requests that Staff ensure that all interested parties are made aware of the subcommittee's meetings.

Action: Riess - Brooks

Commissioners Woods, Beck and Day will form a General Plan Update subcommittee charged with the tasks of addressing unresolved issues raised during the recent General Plan Update hearings.

Discussion of the Action:

Commissioner Pallinger discusses the need for development of an orderly and timely process for privately-initiated GPAs and PAAs. He believes this should be included in the subcommittee discussions. Commissioner Pallinger also requests that the subcommittee discuss the language of the General Plan and whether its intent is to allow less flexibility in processing land development applications, and Commissioner Norby requests that the subcommittee determine if the residential units needed to accommodate the expected population growth will be located where they are needed.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

Action (North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area): Beck - Riess

Staff is to continue evaluating Land Use Designations for this property (NC-18) in the North County Metropolitan Subregional Plan Area.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Valley Center Community Plan Area:

The Valley Center CPG supports Staff's recommendations, including Staff's recommendation that Cole Grade Road remain a two-lane road beyond the North Village. Staff informs the Planning Commission that Cole Grade Road could be improved to four lanes in the future. Staff discusses proposed New Road 3. The Planning Group recommends that New Road 3 end at West Lilac Road and that travelers use West Lilac Road to access Old Highway 395 and Interstate 15. Staff believes a quicker route should be explored.

The Valley Center Planning Group chairman is also a member of the North County Fire Protection District, and he responds to questions raised by the Planning Commission regarding the District's position on Cole Grade Road improvements and proposed Road 3A. He explains that the Fire District has not provided any formal feedback regarding roads or road improvements, but it is his opinion that there are some advantages to construction of New Road 3. Community residents perceive Road 3A to be utilized for evacuation and transit through Valley Center.

Staff is directed to investigate alleged zoning violations on property located at 8320 and 8954 Nelson Way in Escondido. Neighboring property owners are being detrimentally impacted and are concerned that the property could be zoned Medium Industrial in the future.

Discussing Staff's Draft Land Use Map, Commissioner Day recommends that VC-15 be designated SR-10, and that VC-20 be designated SR-2, per the property owner's request and the Board of Supervisors Referral Map. Commissioner Beck notes that VC-15 (Paradise Mountain Ranch) contains valuable habitat and remnants of a former agricultural operation. The property owner's representative believes VC-15 is still actively utilized for agricultural purposes though he has not visited the site, but Commissioner Beck maintains that the agricultural use has been abandoned. Commissioner Beck voices support for designating the property RL-40, but will reluctantly support Staff's recommended RL-20 designation on VC-15 and SR-4 on VC-20.

Commissioner Day also discusses the proposed designation of SR-1 for VC-17, and Staff explains that the designation is consistent with the community's goals to decrease density outside the Country Towns.

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Action: Riess - Brooks

Tentatively recommend that Cole Grade Road remain a two-lane road from north of the Village to Valley Center High School until it requires expansion to a four-lane road. The violations alleged to be occurring at 8320 and 8954 Nelson Way in the unincorporated portion of Escondido are to be referred to Code Enforcement, and Staff is to report to the Planning Commission if the violations impact the General Plan Update. New Road 3 is to extend to Old Highway 395 and Staff is also to consider recommending improvements to West Lilac Road if New Road 3 is not extended to Old Highway 395.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Action: Day - Pallinger

Tentatively recommend that VC-4 be designated SR-2.

Ayes: 6 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Woods
Noes: 1 - Riess
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Action: Day - Pallinger

Tentatively recommend that VC-15 be designated SR-10.

Ayes: 3 - Brooks, Day, Pallinger
Noes: 4 - Beck, Norby, Riess, Woods
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

The Motion fails.

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Action: Norby - Riess

Tentatively recommend that VC-15 be designated RL-20.

Ayes: 4 - Beck, Brooks, Norby, Riess
Noes: 3 - Day, Pallinger, Woods
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Action: Beck - Pallinger

Tentatively recommend that VC-20 be designated SR-4.

Ayes: 6 - Beck, Brooks, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 1 - Day
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Commissioner Woods notes that VC-27 is an active PAA application approved by the Planning Commission, which Staff has recommended for the designation of SR-1. Staff explains that the density recommended in the Referral Map would require sewer service and does not meet the community's goals of decreasing density outside the Northern Village.

Action: Riess - Norby

Tentatively recommend that VC-27 be designated SR-1.

Ayes: 5 - Beck, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 2 - Beck, Day
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

The Planning Commission is reminded that the proposed zoning for VC-12 is based on an active PAA, and is not the zoning recommended by the Valley Center Planning Group.

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Action: Riess - Norby

Tentatively recommend that VC-12 be designated SR-2.

Ayes: 4 - Beck, Norby, Riess, Woods
Noes: 3 - Brooks, Day, Pallinger
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Action: Riess - Norby

Tentatively recommend adoption of Staff's Draft Land Use Map for the remaining areas in the Valley Center Community Plan.

Discussion of the Action:

Commissioner Day advises the audience that the actions taken by the Planning Commission on the General Plan Update are tentative and could change. He reminds them that there is significant disagreement between what Staff recommends and what the Board of Supervisors directed.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

San Dieguito Community Plan Area:

Staff requests that the Planning Commission allow discussions to continue with the property owner of the proposed Morgan Run project site.

Action: Brooks - Riess

The Morgan Run property is referred back to Staff for further discussions with the applicant.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

The Planning Commission is also requested to refer the proposed Whispering Palms project site back to Staff.

Action: Pallinger - Brooks

Staff is to continue discussions with property owners regarding proposed land-use designations in the Whispering Palms community.

Discussion of the Action:

Chairman Woods announces he will abstain from voting on this property.

Ayes:	6 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	1 -	Woods
Absent:	0 -	None

Action: Beck - Day

Tentatively recommend that SD-7 be designated SR-2, SR-4 and RL-20.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

Commissioner Beck concurs the issues raised by a member of the audience that the General Plan does not contain specific policies, nor allows enough flexibility for communities to determine, on a case-by-case basis, land-development designations to accommodate their aging populations. The Planning Commission is also urged to ensure GPAs and PAAs undergo the identical analysis. Those in support of this concept believe to do otherwise is counterproductive and costly.

Action: Day - Pallinger

Tentatively recommend adoption of Staff's draft Land Use Map for all remaining areas in the San Dieguito Community Plan with the exception of SD-11, which is referred back to Staff.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Action: Day - Pallinger

Staff is to continue discussions on SD-11.

Discussion of the Action Discussion of the Action:

Commissioner Beck announces that he will abstain from voting on this property.

Ayes: 4 - Day, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 2 - Brooks, Norby
Abstain: 1 - Beck
Absent: 0 - None

Julian Community Plan Area:

Action: Woods - Day

Assessor's Parcel Number 248-060-03 is referred back to Staff for further review.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Action: Beck - Riess

Tentatively recommend adoption of Staff's Draft Land Use Map for the remaining areas in the Julian Community Plan.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Rainbow Community Plan Area:

Action: Pallinger - Riess

Tentatively approve Staff's draft Land Use Map for the Rainbow Community Plan Area.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

Otay Subregional Plan Area:

Action: Day - Riess

Tentatively recommend adoption of Staff's Draft Land Use Map for the Otay Subregional Plan Area.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

Pendleton-DeLuz Subregional Plan Area:

Action: Riess - Pallinger

Tentatively recommend adoption of Staff's Draft Land Use Map for the Pendleton-DeLuz Subregional Plan Area.

Ayes:	7 -	Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes:	0 -	None
Abstain:	0 -	None
Absent:	0 -	None

General Plan Update, Agenda Item 5:

Action: Beck - Woods

Staff is to return on February 5, 2009 for further discussions regarding NC-9, in response to Commissioner Beck's request that the Planning Commission revisit their November 20, 2009 actions on this property located within an agricultural preserve. A portion of NC-9 was tentatively designated for commercial use during the November 20, 2009 Planning Commission hearing and Commissioner Beck believes this designation could have significant detrimental impacts.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Action: Day - Norby

Continue consideration of the General Plan Update to the meeting of 02/19/10 to allow Staff and the Planning Commission's subcommittee to address unresolved issues pertaining to equity mechanisms, Williamson Act properties, Forest Conservation Initiative lands, minimum lot size regulations, the role of Community Plans, TDRs/PDRs, the economic impacts of the General Plan, the GPA/PAA process post adoption, policies for pipeline projects, the Conservation Subdivision program, permissive versus restrictive language in the General Plan, SANDAG's population forecasts per community, a discussion regarding whether density is being located where it should be, ensuring a jobs/housing balance, preparation of a chart of the acreage designated RL-20 on the Referral Map but designated one dwelling unit per 40, 80 or 160 acres in Staff's Draft Land Use Map.

Ayes: 7 - Beck, Brooks, Day, Norby, Pallinger, Riess, Woods
Noes: 0 - None
Abstain: 0 - None
Absent: 0 - None

Administrative:

H. Report on actions of Planning Commission's Subcommittees.

Chairman Woods and Commissioners Beck and Day will serve as members of the Planning Commission's General Plan Update Subcommittee.

I. Results from Board of Supervisors' Hearing(s)

No reports were provided.

J. Upcoming Board of Supervisors Agenda items and Designation of member to represent Commission at Board of Supervisors.

Chairman Woods will represent the Planning Commission at the December 9, 2009 Board of Supervisors hearing, and Commissioner Pallinger will serve as an alternate.

K. Discussion of correspondence received by the Planning Commission.

There was none.

L. Scheduled Meetings.

December 18, 2009	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
January 8, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
January 22, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
February 5, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
February 19, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
March 5, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
March 19, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
April 2, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
April 16, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
April 30, 2010	Planning Commission Workshop, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room

Administrative:

May 14, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
May 28, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
June 11, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
June 25, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
July 9, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
July 23, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
August 6, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
August 20, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
September 10, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
September 24, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
October 8, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
October 22, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
November 5, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
November 19, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
December 3, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room
December 17, 2010	Regular Meeting, 9:00 a.m., DPLU Hearing Room

There being no further business to be considered at this time, the Chairman adjourned the meeting at 2:14 p.m. to 9:00 a.m. on December 18, 2009 in the DPLU Hearing Room, 5201 Ruffin Road, Suite B, San Diego, California.